above \$5 million they are not required, the Commission is not required to put that money into the reserve fund, the large project fund, but will have the flexibility to do as they so choose, or if they see that there are smaller projects that it would be more expedient to fund at this particular point in time, then they can have the flexibility to use that money in excess of \$5 million on the smaller projects. So if you adopt this amendment, you are giving the Commission total flexibility which is what you just said you wanted to do with the last amendment that you just rejected which is fine so we can do it one way or the other. But for purposes of consistency and to allow the Commission to use money for either large projects or small projects, I would ask the adoption of this amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I have no objection to the second Beutler amendment. I support it and I ask you to support it also.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Goodrich.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Would Senator Schmit yield to a question please.

SENATOR SCHMIT: I yield, Senator.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Senator Schmit, isn't this the same bill that we are trying to process so that the fund can be built up, and since the feds are pulling out from under funding of water projects, we need to get the large fund sufficiently gathered up to the point where we can now attract or would then be in a position to attract the federal government's attention and get some of the large projects funded with the aid of federal dollars because in the meantime when we go to small projects they are going to pull out from under the funding of those and they are not going to pay any attention to us until we get like Wyoming where they had a large fund and they attracted federal dollars with the use of that large fund and the feds knew we meant business and, consequently, they wound up getting some federal funding of